

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/168,58	5 10/08/	98 DAVIS		F	0001-001(B)	
MM91/		MM91/081	_ ¬	EXAMINER		
ROBERT G	·		•	SHAFER,R		
	IGAN BOULE' N OH 44505	/ARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10011001011	O.: 44000			2872		
				DATE MAILED:		
					08/15/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany	09/168,585	09/168,585 DAVES ET AL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
	RO. SHAT	TUR	2872	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	rrespondence address	
Period for Reply				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	DEXPIRE 3 MWTU.	MONTH(S)_	FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	oly within the statutory minim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	lays will be considered timely. of this communication .	
Status	,			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14/00			
☐ This action is FINAL.	/ ' ' / ' '		•	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
\boxtimes Claim(s) $\frac{80-82}{80-82}$ AND $\frac{87-80}{80-82}$ Of the above claim(s) $\frac{80-82}{80-82}$	91	is/are p	ending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s) 80 - 82, 87 A	2088	is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are a	llowed.	
\nearrow Claim(s) $89-91$		is/are re	ejected.	
		:- !	biected to	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are o	-,00.00 .0.	
☐ Claim(s)		are sub	ject to restriction or election	
□ Claim(s)			ject to restriction or election	
□ Claim(s)		are sub	ject to restriction or election	
□ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.	are sub requirer	ject to restriction or election ment.	
□ Claim(s) pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are sub requirer	ject to restriction or election ment.	
□ Claim(s) pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are sub requirer	ject to restriction or election ment.	
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are sub requirer	ject to restriction or election ment.	
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are sub requirer	ject to restriction or election ment.	
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t ☐ received.	g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved led to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents ha	are sub requirer disapproved (d).	ject to restriction or election ment.	
□ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved (ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-6 he priority documents ha	are sub requirer disapproved (d).	ject to restriction or election ment.	
□ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe □ received in this national stage application from the Inte	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved led to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-6 the priority documents have r) mational Bureau (PCT F	are sub requirer disapproved (d).	ject to restriction or election ment.	
□ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe □ received in this national stage application from the Inte *Certified copies not received:	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved led to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-6 the priority documents have r) mational Bureau (PCT F	are sub requirer disapproved (d).	ject to restriction or election ment.	
□ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe □ received in this national stage application from the Inte *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved led to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-6 he priority documents har r) mational Bureau (PCT F	are sub requirer disapproved (d).	ject to restriction or election ment.	
□ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe □ received in this national stage application from the Inte	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved led to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-6 he priority documents har r) mational Bureau (PCT F	are sub requirer disapproved (d).	ject to restriction or election ment.	
□ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number certified copies not received: **Certified copies not received:	Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-6 he priority documents ha r) mational Bureau (PCT F	are sub requirer disapproved (d). ave been dule 1 7.2(a)).	ject to restriction or election ment.	

Application/Control Number: 09/168,585

Art Unit: 2872

قصرا بالولاهرو

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of invention II (claims 89-91) in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 80-82, 87 and 88 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 11.
- 3. The petition to correct the inventorship of this nonprovisional application under 37 CFR 1.48(a) is deficient because it lacks the required fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 4. The terminal disclaimer filed on 10/08/98 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent 5,262,879 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.
 - a). The disclaimer fee of \$55.00 in accordance with 37 CFR 1.20(d) has not been submitted, nor is there any authorization in the application file to charge a Deposit Account.
 - b). The application/patent which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is not identified in the terminal disclaimer.
- 5. Claim 91 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 91, line 3, "said image" is confusing. It is unclear whether the above mentioned language is referring to said image data or to some other image.

Application/Control Number: 09/168,585

Art Unit: 2872

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- 7. Claims 89-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) as being clearly anticipated by Davis ('879) and Davis ('092).
- 8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 89-91 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 12 and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,262,879. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application (09/168,585) discloses no additional invention or discovery other than what was already claimed and patented in U.S. Patent 5,262,879 or what would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/168,585 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

10. Claims 89-91 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5,822,092. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application (09/168,585) discloses no additional invention or discovery other than what was already claimed and patented in U.S. Patent 5,822,092 or what would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R.D. Shafer at telephone number (703) 308-4813.

Shafer/TR

08-04-00

PICKY D. SUAFER PATELLE Z877